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The stability and reactivity of the hematite, Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface are studied by density functional theory including an on-site Coulomb term (DFT+U). Even under oxygen rich conditions, the metal-terminated surface is shown to be stable. On this surface termination, the isolated water molecule forms a heterolytically dissociated structure with the OH$^-$ group attached to a surface Fe$^{3+}$ ion and the proton to a surface O$^{2-}$ ion. Dissociative adsorption is strongly enhanced at oxygen vacancy sites. Here, the OH$^-$ group fills the oxygen vacancy site. Dehydrogenation accompanied by defect healing is favoured compared to water desorption (178 kJ mol$^{-1}$ compared to 236 kJ mol$^{-1}$). The water adsorption energies (at 0 K) for the clean and defective surfaces are 100 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 288 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively.

1 Introduction

Iron oxides are abundant minerals on earth$^1$ and play an important role in a variety of applications$^2$–$^4$ ranging from geochemistry, weathering, corrosion science, biomedicine, magnetic devices to heterogeneous catalysis and photocatalysis. The surface chemistry of these oxides is dominated by interactions with water and solvated ions. Thus, a detailed understanding of the interaction between metal oxides and water, which determines oxide formation and dissolution, is indispensable. This study is devoted to one of the most common and stable iron oxide phases, hematite ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), in particular to the adsorption of an isolated water molecule on the pristine and defective $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surfaces.

Hematite has the corundum crystal structure, with layers of distorted hexagonally close-packed oxygen ions separated by an iron double layer with Fe$^{3+}$ occupying two-thirds of the octahedral sites with a $\sim$ (Fe–O$_x$–Fe) $\sim$ stacking sequence along the $c$ axis.$^1$ Due to this layered structure different surface terminations are, in principle, possible (Fig. 1a–d). They fall into two classes: oxygen termination and iron termination.

The possible $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface terminations have attracted a lot of attention both from experimentalists and theoreticians (see ref. 2, 5 and 6 and the references therein). Nevertheless, clear conclusions could not be reached so far. The reason might be that high oxygen pressures are necessary for the preparation of clean surfaces with well-defined structures and composition, which are hard to reconcile with experiments in ultrahigh vacuum environments. Furthermore, the use of photoemission spectroscopy and of scanning tunnelling microscopy is difficult because of the insulating character of hematite. Finally, experimental investigations of the surfaces of both bulk $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) and epitaxially grown thin films showed significant variations in the relative stabilities of different surface terminations depending on the method of surface preparation.$^5$

The computational results also disagree with each other.$^7$–$^{11}$ Density functional theory (DFT) within the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) has shown that the Fe-terminated surface of hematite is preferred at low oxygen pressures and that O-terminated surfaces should occur at increasing oxygen chemical potentials ($\mu_0$), leaving a small stability domain to ferryl-terminated surfaces.$^7$–$^{10}$ Inclusion of a Hubbard-type on-site Coulomb repulsion (GGA+$U$ approach) yields a ferryl-terminated surface at high $\mu_0$ and Fe-terminated surfaces at low potentials.$^8$–$^{10}$ Within GGA+$U$, the O-terminated surface is out of the physically meaningful range of oxygen chemical potentials. To our best knowledge, more sophisticated computational approaches have not been employed when studying the stabilities of different hematite (0001) surface terminations.

DFT has also been used to study the adsorption of water on hematite surfaces.$^9$–$^{13}$ In agreement with experiments$^{14,15}$ these studies show that upon water adsorption the hydroxylated terminations appear to be more stable than their dehydroxylated counterparts. However, the localised nature of the 3d states of iron in these studies was not always taken into account. Moreover, the reactivity of hematite surfaces with oxygen vacancy defects has been rarely addressed (see ref. 6 and the references therein).

Here, we examine the adsorption of an isolated water molecule on the pristine and defective $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surfaces,
taking different terminations into account. Comparison will be made with $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ as it shares the corundum structure with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, but belongs to the non-reducible oxides.

2 Computational details

Spin-polarised DFT calculations based on plane-wave basis sets of 500 eV cutoff energy were performed with the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange–correlation functional$^{19}$ was employed. The electron–ion interaction was described within the projector augmented wave (PAW) method$^{20}$ with Fe (3d, 4s), O (2s, 2p), and H (1s) states treated as valence states. The Brillouin-zone integration was performed on $\Gamma$-centred symmetry reduced Monkhorst–Pack meshes using a Gaussian smearing with $\sigma = 0.05$ eV, except for the calculation of total energies and densities of states (DOSs). For those calculations, the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections$^{21}$ was employed. A $4 \times 4 \times 1$ $k$-mesh was used in the case of ionic relaxations and $8 \times 8 \times 1$ for single point calculations, respectively.

The DFT+$U$ scheme$^{22,23}$ was adopted for the treatment of Fe 3d orbitals, with the parameter $U_{\text{eff}} = U - J$ equal to 4 eV, which yields lattice constants $a = 507$ pm and $c = 1387$ pm, an Fe magnetic moment of 4.2$\mu_B$, and a band gap of 2.1 eV for the bulk hematite, in good agreement with the most widely accepted experimental values, $a = 504$ pm and $c = 1375$ pm,$^{24}$ 4.6$\mu_B$ and 2.1 eV,$^{25}$ respectively. We note that the band gap of bulk hematite is only 0.4 eV without the $U$ correction, and it increases to 3.4 eV when a hybrid functional (here HSE06$^{27}$) is used. As suggested in ref. 28, the agreement with experiment can be significantly improved when using a reduced Fock exchange contributing in the HSE functional 12% instead of the standard HSE06 value of 25%. We found the HSE (12%) and PBE+$U$ results to be almost identical to each other. For comparison reasons, selected calculations were performed using the original HSE06 functional.

The compensated polar (0001) hematite surfaces$^{29}$ were modelled by symmetric slabs. In total, four pristine Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) terminations were considered (Fig. 1a–d). The used supercells contain 21, 23, 19, and 23 atomic layers in the case of Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R, Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R, O$_3$–Fe–Fe–R, and OFe–O$_3$–Fe–R, respectively, and a vacuum gap of approximately 2600 pm. For all these structures the ions of the 13 middle inner layers were fixed at their bulk positions during the structural optimisation procedure, whereas the positions (x, y, z-coordinates) of all other ions were fully relaxed until forces became smaller than 0.01 eV Å$^{-1}$. A $(2 \times 2)$ supercell in the lateral plane was adopted to allow a sufficient degree of surface reconstruction and non-integer composition ratios. The lattice constant in the lateral plane was set according to the optimised lattice constant of bulk hematite, $a = 507$ pm.

To quantify the structural stability, we define the surface free energy per unit cell $\gamma$ at temperature $T$ and pressure $p$ as

$$\gamma(T, p, \{N_i\}) = \sum_i N_i \mu_i(T, p).$$

Here, $G(T, p, \{N_i\})$ is the Gibbs free energy of the slab, and $N_i$ with $i = \text{Fe, O}$ are the numbers of iron and oxygen ions. The chemical potentials of Fe ($\mu_{\text{Fe}}$) and O ($\mu_{\text{O}}$) obey the constraint $2\mu_{\text{Fe}} + 3\mu_{\text{O}} = \mu_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$, where $\mu_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$ is the chemical potential of one Fe$_2$O$_3$ formula unit in bulk hematite. Here $G$ is replaced by the total energies from DFT+$U$ calculations, neglecting contributions from configurational or vibrational entropies.$^{30}$ In this approximation $\mu_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}$ is equal to the DFT+$U$ total energy of bulk hematite per primitive unit cell. Thus, the surface energy per surface area reads

$$\gamma(\mu_{\text{O}}) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} N_{\text{Fe}} - N_{\text{O}} \right) \mu_{\text{O}} + \left( E(N_{\text{O}}, N_{\text{Fe}}) - \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{Fe}} \mu_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} \right) \right],$$

where $A$ is the surface area, the factor 2 accounts for the presence of two identical surfaces in the structural slab model. The chemical potential $\mu_{\text{O}}$ can meaningfully vary only within a limited range. At lower limit, reduction of the oxide from Fe$_2$O$_3$.

![Fig. 1](left panel) Schematic representation (side view) of various surface terminations of the clean $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) surface. Large red and small blue spheres represent oxygen and iron ions, respectively. (middle panel) Surface structure (top view) of the respective pristine terminations. All atoms lying below the topmost three layers are faded. (right panel) Surface structure of the considered defective terminations: (e) O$_3$–Fe–Fe–R, (f) Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R, (g) O$_3$–Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R, (h) OFe–O$_3$–Fe–R. All atoms lying below the topmost three layers are faded. Arrows point out at the defect sites.
to magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) will take place. At the upper limit, oxygen starts to condensate at the surface of hematite. These limiting values are given by

$$3\mu_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3} - 2\mu_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3} \leq \mu_\text{O} \leq \frac{3}{2}\mu_{\text{O}_2}.$$ 

The electrically neutral vacancies were created by removing an oxygen atom from the supercells of the same surface periodicity, i.e. $(2 \times 2)$. Thereby, the distance between repeated vacancies in the nearest-neighbour cells is larger than 1000 pm. The oxygen defect formation energy is defined as follows

$$\Delta E_{\text{def}}(1/2\text{O}_2) = E(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_{m-1}) + 1/2E(\text{O}_2) - E(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_m)$$

where $E(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_{m-1})$ and $E(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_m)$ are the energies of the hematite slab with and without oxygen vacancy, respectively, and $E(\text{O}_2)$ is the energy of the gas phase oxygen molecule. Vacancies were formed at both sides of the slab.

To study the adsorption of a single $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ molecule, a $(2 \times 2)$ surface cell of the size (101.4 x 101.4) pm was used with one water molecule added from one side of the slab. This corresponds to a quarter monolayer with respect to the surface iron ions. Adsorption energies were calculated as

$$\Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Fe}_m) - [E(\text{Fe}_m) + E(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$$

where $E(\text{Fe}_m)$ and $E(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ are the energies of the isolated hematite slab and water molecule, and $E(\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{Fe}_m)$ is the energy of their interacting assembly. Dispersion interactions were considered adding a 1/6th atom-atom term as parameterised by Grimme ("D2" parameterisation).\textsuperscript{31} In order to avoid truncation errors the Ewald summation for the dispersion term was performed.\textsuperscript{32}

The results for the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ interaction used for comparison were obtained with the same computational settings as for the $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ interaction. Our results for the water adsorption on the pristine $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(0001)$ surface are in qualitative agreement with previously published data\textsuperscript{33} obtained with a slightly different functional (Perdew and Wang 1991\textsuperscript{34} augmented by the Grimme "D2" term).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Surface stability

We consider four possible pristine terminations. Three of them are obtained by simple bulk cleavage above the oxygen layer ($\text{O}_2$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$, see Fig. 1a), above the $\text{Fe}$ double layer ($\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{R}$, see Fig. 1b), and between the Fe layers ($\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$, see Fig. 1c).

One further possible termination, shown in Fig. 1d is a single-metal termination capped with O ions atop the metal ions to produce a layer of ferryl ($\text{Fe}^3+$O) species. As defects play an important role on oxide surfaces and can significantly influence the interaction of water with the surface,\textsuperscript{35} oxygen surface vacancies (Fig. 1e–h) are also investigated.

Fig. 2 shows the Gibbs free energies per surface area for the studied surface terminations as functions of $\mu_\text{O}$. The dashed black vertical lines bracket the allowed range of $\mu_\text{O}$ (for details, see Section 2). $\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ is clearly the energetically most favourable surface termination. Another $\text{Fe}$-terminated surface, the $\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{R}$ structure, was found to be energetically unfavourable over the whole range, except for extremely poor oxygen conditions where the termination is in thermodynamic equilibrium with the magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) bulk phase. The $\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ and $\text{OFe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ are stable only outside of the region of interest under extremely oxygen rich atmosphere. This is consistent with the previously published DFT results for the nondefective $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(0001)$ surface.\textsuperscript{8}

The overall stability of the $\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ structure may have a very simple explanation as it is the only structure without a notable surface dipole. Furthermore, on structure optimisation the surface Fe ions significantly relax inward, accompanied by charge redistribution in the surface layer. In our calculations, the lowest empty surface state, which has predominantly Fe 3d\textsubscript{z2} character, is 1.57 eV above the Fermi level (Fig. 3a).

The $\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ termination has the largest dipole at the surface. This surface termination has a very unfavourable free energy, which decreases fast with increasing $\mu_\text{O}$. The O-terminated surface can become more stable, however, upon creation of oxygen vacancies (see Fig. 2). Thus, the $\text{O}_{1-x}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ and $\text{OFe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ structures have consistently lower free energies than $\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ for the whole range of $\mu_\text{O}$. Creating vacancies in the $\text{OFe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ surface layer further stabilises this termination, whereas O-vacancies in the subsurface layers of $\text{OFe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ and $\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ destabilise the respective surfaces. Still, the latter structure is the most stable among all studied defective surfaces, except for high $\mu_\text{O}$ values.

The defect formation energies show a similar pattern (Table 1): while removing oxygen yields an energy gain in the case of $\text{O}_{1-x}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ and $\text{O}_{1-x}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_3$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$, the defective $\text{Fe}$-$\text{O}_{1-x}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ and $\text{OFe}$-$\text{O}_{1-x}$-$\text{Fe}$-$\text{R}$ structures are less stable than the parent terminations. Hybrid functionals yield qualitatively similar results for the relative surface stabilities compared to...
DFT+U, see ESI† (Fig. S1) for surface free energies obtained with different computational approaches.

Comparison with experiment is not an easy task as different preparation methods can lead to different results and, sometimes, even to different phases being formed. Some studies indicate the coexistence of Fe₂O₃(0001) and FeO(111) phases, see, e.g. ref. 36. Recently, it was shown that the so-called biphase termination of α-Fe₂O₃ is related to a thin overlayer of Fe₃O₄.37 It was proposed that the effect of the metal substrate, often used for preparation of epitaxial thin films, cannot be ignored even in the case of rather thick (10–50 nm) iron oxide layers.37,38 When growing α-Fe₂O₃ as films on Pt(111), the coexistence of two different domains (a single-metal termination and a ferryl termination) was observed at intermediate pressures, while higher and lower pressures led to one or the other of these domains becoming dominant.39 Contrary to the observations made when growing α-Fe₂O₃ films on metal surfaces, the clean α-Fe₂O₃(0001) surface grown epitaxially (∼35 nm thick) on α-Al₂O₃(0001) is single-Fe-terminated and, in this case, the surface structure of α-Fe₂O₃(0001) is similar to that of α-Al₂O₃(0001) (1 × 1).40 There was no evidence for a stable O-terminated surface in this X-ray photoelectron diffraction experiments. The same conclusions were reached when studying the surface of a bulk α-Fe₂O₃(0001) crystal by STM2 and LEED.38 Our slabs of around 20 atomic layers model the Fe₂O₃ single crystal surface or a bulk-like thick film. Thus, comparison of the presented results with those of ref. 2, 38 and 40 is adequate and good agreement between calculations and experiment is achieved.

A further possibility to compare our results with experiment provides simulation of STM images (for details, see ESI,† Fig. S3). In agreement with the site-projected density of states calculated for the pristine Fe₂O₃(0001) (see Fig. 3a), one observes imaging of the oxygen sublattice for the bias voltage of −2.5 V (occupied states) and the uppermost Fe sublattice for the bias voltage of +2.5 V (unoccupied states). This is in agreement with the available experimental results for the surface of a bulk α-Fe₂O₃(0001) crystal.4 This is in agreement with the available experimental results for the surface of a bulk α-Fe₂O₃(0001) crystal.

The results we obtain for α-Fe₂O₃(0001) are in qualitative agreement with the data available for the isomorphic α-Al₂O₃ surface.7 The common features are: (i) the single-metal termination is energetically most favourable; (ii) creating O-vacancies in the subsurface layer destabilises the respective surface; (iii) among the O-terminated surfaces, those containing less oxygen ions at the surface are more stable. In the case of (0001) surfaces of hematite and corundum there is only one dominating

**Table 1** Oxygen defect formation energies, \( \Delta E_{\text{def}} \), and surface free energies, \( \gamma \), for 
Fe₂O₃(0001) surface terminations with PBE+U (available HSE06 results are shown in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>( \Delta E_{\text{def}}(1/2 \text{O}_2) ) [kJ mol(^{-1})]</th>
<th>( \gamma ) [kJ mol(^{-1}) nm(^{-2})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe–O₃–Fe–R</td>
<td>337 (^a) (292)</td>
<td>801 (^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁₋₋₋₋–Fe–Fe–R</td>
<td>−51</td>
<td>2119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFe–O₃–Fe–R</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁₋₋₋₋–Fe–O₃–Fe–R</td>
<td>−91 (−100)</td>
<td>1449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 354 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) if dispersion is taken into account.
low energy surface termination in the allowed $\mu_0$ range: the single-metal termination. Therefore, from now on, we will focus on this particular surface termination, i.e., Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R, as well as on the corresponding defective structure, namely Fe–O$_{1-x}$–Fe–R.

### 3.2 Oxygen vacancies

Removal of an oxygen atom reduces the coordination number of the three neighbouring iron ions, leading to strong modification of the local lattice structure. The Fe$_S$–Fe$_{S-1}$–Fe$_{S-2}$ triangle (for notations, see Fig. 3c) shrinks and the Fe$_S$–Fe$_{S-1}$ and Fe$_S$–Fe$_{S-2}$ distances become smaller (ca. 5%), whereas the Fe$_{S-1}$–Fe$_{S-2}$ distance stays almost unchanged. Simultaneously, Fe$_S$ moves inwards, whereas Fe$_{S-2}$ and Fe$_{S-3}$ are moving out of the surface. Overall, all three metal ions are moving closer to the centre of the triangle, i.e. the former position of O. Similar changes have been observed when comparing Al–O$_3$–Al–R and Al–O$_{1-x}$–Al–R.$^{41}$

As result of the O-vacancy (V$_O$) formation,

$$[2\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{O}^2^-] \rightarrow [2\text{Fe}^{2+},\text{V}_O] + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2,$$

two electrons of O$^2-$ are left behind and occupy the easily available Fe 3d states on neighbouring Fe$_{S-1}$ and Fe$_{S-2}$ ions (Fig. 3d).$^{35}$ (With HSE06 the same conclusion is reached). In the calculated DOS of Fe–O$_3$–Fe–R a well localised defect state appears in the energy gap just below $E_F$ (Fig. 3b). The DOS of the defective corundum (0001) surface can be found in ref. 41.

In Al$_2$O$_3$, the excess electrons localise in the vacancy,

$$[2\text{Al}^{3+},\text{O}^2^-] \rightarrow [2\text{Al}^{2+},2\text{e}^-] + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2,$$

and are stabilised by electrostatic effects only$^{41}$ (see also Fig. 3e). The resulting $\Delta E_{\text{def}}(1/2\text{O}_3)$ for Al$_2$O$_3$ is as large as 550 kJ mol$^{-1}$, much larger than for the reducible Fe$_2$O$_3$(0001) oxide (337 kJ mol$^{-1}$, Table 1).

### 3.3 Adsorption of an isolated water molecule

#### 3.3.1 Pristine surface

On adsorption of single water molecule attaches with its oxygen atom to an Fe surface ion (Fe–O$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ distance 217 pm). This molecular adsorption structure, called “M”, is shown in Fig. 4a. The surface iron ion is pulled out of the surface by 24 pm and the water molecule is tilted towards one of the nearest neighbouring oxygen ions with respect to the surface plane allowing the formation of a hydrogen bond with a neighboured surface oxygen ion (H⋯O distance 174 pm). This result differs from the outcome of ref. 12, where a configuration with the water molecule parallel to the surface plane was shown to be energetically more favourable than tilted or perpendicular configurations. Our tests show that the result strongly depends on the force threshold for ionic relaxation (for further details, see ESI† Fig. S2). Moreover, in the ref. 12 the PBE-GGA functional is used without an $U$ term for the Fe 3d-states whereas we use PBE+$U$.

The tilted structure we find for of a single adsorbed water molecule on Fe$_2$O$_3$ is different from the parallel configuration found to be most stable on Al$_2$O$_3$(0001).$^{33}$

In addition to M, two different dissociated structures, called “D1” and “D2”, were investigated, with an OH$^-$ group at the surface Fe ion and a proton either at a neighbouring (Fig. 4b) or a distant surface oxygen ion (Fig. 4c), respectively. Due to the strong interaction between the surface Fe ion and the hydroxyl group, the Fe–O distance is shorter (184 and 185 pm in D1 and D2, respectively) than in M (216 pm). The strong interaction causes also an outward relaxation of a surface cation by 26 pm and 16 pm for D1 and D2, respectively. On protonation, the surface O$^2-$ ion is pulled out of the surface by ca. 20 pm and the neighbouring iron ions are pushed away from their positions in the pristine surface.

Fig. 4 shows the same relative stabilities of the three adsorption structures for hematite and corundum (D1 > M > D2), although the binding is much stronger for the corundum surface. This is due to smaller ionic radius for Al$^{3+}$ (54 pm) compared to Fe$^{3+}$ (65 pm).$^{42}$ Compared to PBE+$U$=D2, HSE06+D2 gives very similar results for the adsorption energy (~100 kJ mol$^{-1}$, −117 kJ mol$^{-1}$, and −82 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for M, D1, and D2, respectively).
Fe-3d states. The proton can be attached to two different oxygen sites. In the D1-d structure the two surface OH groups formed coordinate to the same iron surface ion, whereas in the D2-d structure they are further apart. The former structure is more stable with a binding energy of −236 kJ mol⁻¹ instead of −211 kJ mol⁻¹.

In the D3-d adsorption structure, a hydride (H⁻) ion is occupying the oxygen vacancy position. Formally, it is created when the proton in the vacancy position picks up the two electrons left behind on vacancy formation:

\[ [2\text{Fe}^{2+},\text{V}_\text{O}] + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow [2\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{H}^-] \]

The latter process is not favourable and, therefore, the D3-d structure is much less stable than the D1-d and D2-d structures.

For Al₂O₃, the relative stabilities of the two different types of structures are reversed. On defect formation, the two electrons left behind fill the vacancy position because there are no d-states available to accommodate the electrons,

\[ [2\text{Al}^{3+},\text{O}_2^-] \rightarrow [2\text{Al}^{3+},2\text{e}^-] + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2. \]

The energy released on reaction of the proton with the two electrons in the vacancy site explains the large adsorption energy (−288 kJ mol⁻¹, see Fig. 5d) at the defect site, but in Al₂O₃ the defect is much more difficult to create.

In the D1-d and D2-d structures, the surface iron ion relaxes back closer to the surface plane. In the D3-d structure (as in D1) the OH group is bended slightly towards the vacancy, which is now occupied by the hydride ion. From the energetic point of view this structure is significantly less favourable than the other three structures.

Contrary to Fe₂O₃, for Al₂O₃ both molecular and dissociative adsorption is energetically less favourable at surface defects than at the pristine surface, except when the hydride ion occupies the vacancy site.

Fig. 6 shows different states of water adsorption on the pristine and defective Fe₂O₃(0001) surfaces obtained with PBE+U+D2. The presence of water reduces the defect formation energy and enhances the reducibility. The O-defect formation energy is lowered from 354 kJ mol⁻¹ for the dry surface to 222 kJ mol⁻¹ for the surface with an adsorbed water molecule. In Al₂O₃, the O-defect formation energy is reduced by approximately the same value, 550 kJ mol⁻¹ and 427 kJ mol⁻¹ for the surfaces without and with an adsorbed water molecule, respectively. Dehydrogenation of the hydrated defective surfaces heals the defect. It requires 178 kJ mol⁻¹ and 33 kJ mol⁻¹ for hematite and corundum, respectively, significantly less than water desorption (236 and 288 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively, see Fig. 6).

3.3.3 Comparison with other iron-oxide materials. For comparison with available studies of other iron oxides with Fe³⁺ surface ions we present in this section our PBE+U results without dispersion correction. We obtained qualitatively similar results with and without dispersion correction.

When comparing the interaction of a water molecule with the three-fold coordinated Fe³⁺ ions in hematite (α-Fe₂O₃(0001)) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄(111)),⁴³,⁴⁴ the four-fold coordinated Fe³⁺ ions in goethite (α-FeOOH(101)),⁴⁵ akaganite ([β-FeOOH(100)).,⁴⁵
and lepidocrocite ($\gamma$-FeOOH(010)) as well as the five-fold coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ ion in magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$(100)) (Table 2), the interaction strength decreases with increasing coordination number of the surface iron ion. Dissociated structures are stable only when both iron cations and oxygen anions occur on an oxide surface.

Molecular water binds more strongly on the three-fold coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ ions on the hematite (0001) surface than on the five-fold coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ ions on the magnetite (100) surface which is also reflected in shorter Fe–O H$_2$O distances (216 pm compared to 222 pm). In both cases, water extends a hydrogen bond to a neighboured surface oxygen ion (note: the presently accepted model of Fe$_3$O$_4$(100) deviates from that utilised in ref. 46 and 47. Still it contains both five-fold coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ and O$^{2-}$ in the surface layer).

Furthermore, for both oxides dissociative adsorption is more favourable than molecular adsorption. However, for magnetite the adsorption energy is not sensitive to the position of the proton on the surface (70 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for H$^+$ attached to a neighbouring oxygen ion and 73 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for H$^+$ on the more distant surface oxygen ion). For hematite the relative positions of the hydroxyl group and the proton are of crucial importance (100 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the nearest oxygen ion vs. 62 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the more distant oxygen ion, without dispersion term). Dissociation of a single water molecule was also found when considering the Fe$_3$O$_4$(111) surface terminated by octahedrally coordinated iron ions.

Similarly to hematite, the adsorption on the defective magnetite surface is strongly favourable over adsorption on the pristine one. Here quantitative comparison is complicated by the more complex structure of magnetite. The formation energy for an oxygen vacancy varies between 241 and 337 kJ mol$^{-1}$ depending on the presence of tetrahedrally coordinated Fe ions in its neighbourhood. Nevertheless, the general (qualitative) behaviour of the defective Fe$_3$O$_4$(100) and Fe$_3$O$_4$(0001) is very similar. In both cases, electrons localise on two subsurface iron ions, and dissociative adsorption on the defective surface is favoured over the molecular one, and the OH group, formed on heterolytic dissociation, fills the oxygen vacancy.

Table 2: Adsorption energies and the equilibrium distances between Fe-ion of the substrate and O of water as obtained for different iron-oxide materials with PBE+$U$ (available PBE+$U$+D$_2$ results are shown in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Coordination number of Fe</th>
<th>Adsorption mode</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{ads}$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$d_{Fe-O}$/pm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$(0001)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>-83 (-95)$^a$</td>
<td>216 (217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$(0001)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dissociative</td>
<td>-100 (-104)$^a$</td>
<td>184 (184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$(111)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dissociative</td>
<td>-140 (-167)</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOOH(101)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOOH(100)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$(100)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$(100)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dissociative</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ See Fig. 4.

Fig. 6 Energy diagram (kJ mol$^{-1}$) for the adsorption of H$_2$O on the pristine and defective Fe$_3$O$_4$ (black) and Al$_2$O$_3$ (blue) (0001) surfaces.
4 Conclusion

Relative stabilities of the pristine and defective $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ surfaces were studied by density functional theory within the PBE+$U$(+D2) approach. Even under oxygen rich conditions the metal-terminated surface was shown to be stable. This is in agreement with experiments on single crystals.2,38,40 On metal-terminated surface was shown to be stable. This is $\text{PBE}^+$ faces were studied by density functional theory within the $a$ iron ion and a protonated surface oxygen ion. The isostructural dissociated structure with an OH group attached to a surface this surface termination isolated water molecules form an healing will occur.

For both Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ the O vacancy formation energy becomes lower if an adsorbed water molecule is present. On increasing the temperature, water would not desorb from the defect site, but rather dehydrogenation accompanied by defect healing will occur.

Among different iron oxides with $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ surface ions the strength of the interaction with water molecules depends on the coordination number of the $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ions as well as on the composition of the surface, i.e. the coexistence of oxygen and iron ions in the topmost layer. The adsorption behaviour of water on the Fe$_2$O$_3(0001)$ and Fe$_2$O$_4(100)$ surfaces is similar.
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